

SJRV- POA / Metro
Special Joint Work Session
August 26,2014 6:00 PM

Special Joint Work Session

Meeting Called to Order - 6:03 PM, Joe Jordan 1st, Steve Wilkins 2nd.

Metro called to order – Bill Wittwer 1st, Steve Wilkins 2nd.

Attendance- POA directors: Joe Jordan, Steve Wilkins, Dick Ray, Julene Campbell. **Metro directors:** Carrie Wienchowski, Dennis Jeffrey, Bill Wittwer, Linda Gundelach, Steve Wilkins.

Guests: Gretchen Grotz, Annette Jeffrey, Rick Moore, Sharilyn Heiman, Elson Heiman, Shawn and Joni Felts, Brian, Joy and Christine Sinnott, Michelle Visel, Janice Wittwer, Megan Reinhardt Rawlings, Nadia Werby, Nancy Galbreath, Travis Fleming Jr., Graham Whitehead, Liz Fox, Jack London, Greg and Lesley Burroughs, Robert Soniat, Marcus Hughes.

Welcome - Joe posed the question: What brought you to San Juan River Village to begin with? What if we did nothing? Possible solutions that we come to tonight are from the property owners present at the meeting.

Agenda and Goals: River Access, not River ownership. Securing the river front property owner's security and privacy that will also address the needs of all property owners.

Balancing the rights of property owners with the access rights of all:

Possible access points to the river:

- 1) The Bridge on Bridge St, (NE side) lot 181
- 2) Upper end of Red Ryder Circle at the National forest entrance gate – Parking will need to be addressed.

3) Meeting house on Alpine Dr. You would need to walk along the inside fence of the sewer ponds and descend down a steep bank (steps in the future?) -Metro owns lots, 1-11 - Parking needs to be addressed.

4) Sunflower Place draw down to Picnic Island.

5) Pedestrian easements on private property will be discussed privately with the owners of the property.

Consensus: Green, Yellow, Red.

Actionable Ideas:

1. Clearly marked access points with a color-coded signage

A Welcome book /Guide book which would include a map of common areas / private areas. Also Bear / Mountain lion Education, driving policies, outside fire policies, fireworks, dogs, trash, etc. Everyone attending was 'green' on this plan. Also, include consequences including fines for not following rules and regulations. Plans for this will go forward.

2. Designated parking spots and areas for owners and guests. Discussion of possible signage, i.e. painted rocks, signs, a combination of both to show river access locations. Parking areas – Metro will need to check with their attorney to see if parking can be permitted on the roads during the summer months.

3. A site map showing public parking and access points with private property areas clearly marked. How much of the river bank can a guest use? Decisions need to be made. Carrie Wienchowski said Federal law is bank full. But, POA and Metro need to decide and regulate. Signs are to not be obtrusive as we do not want to encourage public use. Possibly: Keep Your Feet Wet.

4. A code of conduct/ethics for river users that explains access points, entry and exit points from common areas, respect for private landowner's privacy and property boundaries, etc. (Owners, guests and renters) - address the rights of all: Renters need to know rules and regulations. This could be sent to renters before they arrive. Given to all rental agencies and posted in the rental units.

Break: 7:14PM - 7:26PM.

Next Steps/Implementation -Move ahead with a Guidebook /Code of ethics. Move ahead with a category for Parks/Rec or River Access on website.

Longer Term Development -

Privately owned easements - will be discussed privately with homeowners

Picnic Island access and development - what kind of development? How much? Anywhere from:

- None.
- Moving dead snags and trimming noxious weeds (not native to CO) to clear a few places. NOT the entire island.
- Rock steps or bridge to island.
- Structures, (picnic tables, shelter, gazebo).

No development question -about 1/2 of the property owners do not want development.

Moving dead snag and trimming noxious weeds question- about 1/2 of the property owners do want this.

Rock step question- very minimal support.

Structure question- no support.

These were all consensus questions. Just trying to find out what the property owners present are thinking of development or not. More discussion later at the next meeting or on the Nextdoor website

Owner identification - ID tags - name tags, lanyards, pins, etc. Who would police it? Consensus was that this might be very hard to monitor. Vehicle ID? A gated community was brought up but is not possible because of the roads being County roads. No agreement on ID tags.

Meeting Adjourned - 8:23 p.m.